





Tender Evaluation Grid

Prefiguration study of the Congo Basin Blue Fund



United Nations Development Programme 2018

Tender evaluation grid

Note: The teams proposed to conduct the different sections of the study should comprise, at least, one expert from a country of the Congo Basin Climate Commission.

Summary	of technical proposal evaluation forms	Score weighting coefficient	Maximum points
1.	Expertise and references of the consulting firm	30 %	300
2.	Proposed methodology, approach and execution plan	45 %	450
3.	Qualifications and skills of the proposed staff	25 %	250
	Total		1000

Technical Proposal Evaluation Form 1		Maximum points	
	Expertise of the Consulting firm		
1.1	Number of years of experience of the firm: O Nr = 5 years of experience : 70 points O Nr > 5 years of experience : 5 points for each year (100 points Max)	100	
1.2	References of the consulting firm:	200	
	 Proven experience in the development of investment programmes: 1 recommendation (15 pts) 2 recommendations (30 pts) 3 recommendations (30 pts) 	60	
	• 1 recommendation (15 pts) • 2 recommendations (30 pts)	30	
	 • • • • Experience in climate change and sustainable development 1 recommendation (15 pts) 2 recommendations (30 pts) 	30	
	 Experience in the management of complex project at the multilateral level: 1 recommendation (15 pts) 2 recommendations (30 pts) 	30	
	• 1 recommendation (15 pts) 2 recommendations (30 pts)	30	
	Experience in the Congo Basin Climate Commission region (20 pts)	20	
	Total of part 1	300	

Section 2: Financial Vehicle

Technical Proposal Evaluation Form 1	Maximum
	points

1.1	Number of years of experience of the firm:	100
1.1	O Nr = 5 years of experience : 70 points	100
	O Nr > 5 years of experience : 5 points for each year (100 points Max)	
1.2	References of the consulting firm:	200
	O Proven experience in conducting similar studies :	
	• 1 recommendation (15 pts)	60
	• 2 recommendations (30 pts)	
	3 recommendations (30 pts)	
	O Experience in Climate financing:	30
	• 1 recommendation (15 pts)	
	• 2 recommendations (30 pts)	
	Experience in climate change and sustainable development	30
	1 recommendation (15 pts)	
	2 recommendations (30 pts)	
	O Experience in the development of financial vehicles :	30
	• 1 recommendation (15 pts)	
	• 2 recommendations (30 pts)	
	, , ,	
	O A relevant African international experience :	30
	• 1 recommendation (15 pts)	
	2 recommendations (30 pts)	
	Europianos in the Congo Pasin Climate Commission varion (20 ata)	20
	Experience in the Congo Basin Climate Commission region (20 pts) 1 recommendation (15 pts)	20
	Total of part 1	300

Section 1: Investment Plan

Techn	Technical Proposal Evaluation Form 2	
	Proposed methodology, approach and execution plan	
2.1	Level of understanding of the context and stakes of the study:	90
	Insufficient: 0 point	
	Average: 50 points	
	Good enough: 70 points	
	Good: 90 points	
2.2	Quality of the proposed methodology and level of compliance with the ToR of the study	290
	The methodology is non-compliant with the ToR : 0 point	
	The methodology is simple and contains elements of the ToR: 50 points	
	The methodology is developed enough compared to the ToR: 200 points	
	The methodology is well developed compared to the ToR: 250 points	
	The methodology is highly developed compared to the ToR: 290 points	
2.3	O Planning compliance and task scheduling :	35
	Non-compliance with deadlines : 0 point	
	Compliance with completion deadlines : 30 points	

O Degree of details in the assignment timetable of experts :	35
 Insufficiently detailed : 0 point Detailed enough : 25 points Well detailed : 25 points 	
Total of part 2	450

Section 2: Financial Vehicle

Techn	nical Proposal Evaluation Form 2	Maximum points
	Proposed methodology, approach and execution plan	
2.1	Level of understanding of the context and stakes of the study:	90
	Insufficient: 0 point	
	Average: 50 points	
	Good enough: 70 points	
	Good: 90 points	
2.2	Quality of the proposed methodology and level of compliance with the ToR of the study	290
	The methodology is non-compliant with the ToR: 0 point	
	The methodology is simple and contains elements of the ToR: 50 points	
	The methodology is developed enough compared to the ToR: 200 points	
	 The methodology is well developed compared to the ToR: 250 points 	
	The methodology is highly developed compared to the ToR: 290 points	
2.3	O Planning compliance and task scheduling :	35
	Non-compliance with deadlines : 0 point	
	Compliance with completion deadlines : 30 points	
	O Degree of details in the assignment timetable of experts :	35
	Insufficiently detailed : 0 point	
	• Detailed enough : 25 points	
	Well detailed : 25 points	
	Total of part 2	450

Section 1: Investment Plan

Technical Prop	oosal Evaluation Form 3	Maximum points
	Qualifications and skills of the proposed staff	
3.1	Project manager	110
	Academic background (Post graduate degree) • < Post graduate degree : 0 pt • > or =Post graduate degree : 5 pts	5

Experience in the study of complex projects, at the multilateral level, combining public	10
and private officials.	
• < 15 years : 0 pt	
• 15 years : 5pt	
• >15 years : 10 pts	
Experience as Head of mission	10
• < 3 missions: Opts	
• 3-5 missions: 5pts	
• >5 missions: 10 pts	
Professional experience in implementing investment plans	40
• < 3 Similar studies :15pts	
3-5 similar studies : 30 pts	
• > 5 similar studies conducted : 40 pts	
•	
Additional professional experiences	
Strong experience in the development of resource mobilisation strategies and funding of	25
project portfolios.	
• < 3 Similar studies :10pts	
• 3-5 similar studies : 15 pts	
> 5 similar studies conducted : 25pts	
•	
Experience in Climate finance, carbon markets, CDM mechanisms and MRV systems.	10
• < 3 Similar studies : 5 pts	
• 3-5 similar studies : 7 pts	
• > 5 similar studies conducted : 10 pts	
Experience in technical studies of environmental and sustainable development projects	10
• < 3 Similar studies : 5 pts	
3-5 similar studies : 7 pts	
• > 5 similar studies conducted : 10 pts	
A Climate financing Expert	80
Academic background (A post-graduate degree in economics, finance, or the equivalent).	10
 < Post graduate degree : 0 pt 	
• = >Post graduate degree : 5pts	
Professional experience in finance	20
• <10 years: 0 pt	-
• 10-15 years : 10pt	
• > 15 years : 20 pts	

	Proven experience in climate finance, especially in resources mobilization (carbon markets,	50
	CDM and NAMA mechanisms, MRV systems, carbon balance and assessments of carbon	
	balance, NDC, adaptation, mitigation)	
	< 5 similar studies : 15 pts	
	5- 10 similar studies : 30 pts > 10 similar studies and more : 50 pts	
	> 10 3iiiiidi 3taale3 aha more . 30 pt3	
3.3	An "Environmental and sustainable development" Expert,	60
	Academic background (A post-graduate degree in environmental science, or the	5
	equivalent).	
])	
	< Post graduate degree : Opt	
	• = or >Post graduate degree : 5pts	
	Experience in the management and conservation of natural ecosystems, environment,	15
	biodiversity, climate and sustainable development.	15
	• < 10 years : 0 pt	
	• 10-15 years : 10pt	
	• > 15 years : 15 pts	
	Proven experience in the development of climate-related programmes and projects.	30
	< 5 similar studies : 15 pts	
	5- 10 similar studies : 20 pts	
	> 10 similar studies and more : 30 pts	
	•	
	An African international experience	5
	At least 1 study conducted in an African country: 5 pts	
	Proven experience in the countries of the Congo Basin Climate Commission	5
	At least 1 study conducted in a country concerned: 5 pts	
	Total of part 3	250

Section 2: Financial Vehicle

сат Ргоро	sal Evaluation Form 3	Maximun points
	Qualifications and skills of the proposed staff	
Project	t manager	110
Academ	nic background (Post graduate degree)	
•	< Post graduate degree : 0 pt	5
•	> or =Post graduate degree : 5 pts	
	nce in the study of complex projects, at the multilateral level, combining public and officials.	10
•	< 15 years : 0 pt	
•	15 years : 5pt	
•	>15 years : 10 pts	
Experie	nce as Head of mission	10
•	< 3 missions: Opts	
•	3-5 missions: 5pts	
•	>5 missions: 10 pts	
Professi	ional experience in designing financial vehicles	40
•	< 3 Similar studies :15pts	
•	3-5 similar studies : 30 pts	
•	> 5 similar studies conducted : 40 pts	
Additio	nal professional experiences	
Strong e	experience in the development of resource mobilisation strategies and funding of project os.	25
	< 3 Similar studies :10pts	
•	3-5 similar studies : 15 pts	
•	> 5 similar studies conducted : 25pts	
Experie	nce in Climate finance, carbon markets, CDM mechanisms and MRV systems.	10
•	< 3 Similar studies : 5 pts	
•	3-5 similar studies : 7 pts	
•	> 5 similar studies conducted : 10 pts	
Experie	nce in technical studies of environmental and sustainable development projects	10
•	< 3 Similar studies : 5 pts	
•	3-5 similar studies : 7 pts	
•	> 5 similar studies conducted : 10 pts	

3.2	A financial expert in "financial vehicles",:	50
	Academic background (Post-graduate degree in economics, finance, or the equivalent). • < Post graduate degree : 0 pt • = or >Post graduate degree : 5pts •	5
	Professional experience in the operationalization of financial vehicles. • < 10 years : 0 pt • 10-15 years : 15pt • > 15 years : 250 pts	25
	Experience in Environmental taxation < or = 5 similar studies : 5pts > 5 similar studies and more : 10pts	10
	An African international experience	5
	Experience in the countries of the Congo Basin Climate Commission	5
3.3	A legal expert in "financial vehicles",:	40
	Academic background (Post-graduate degree in Law) or the equivalent <pre></pre>	5
	Professional experience in international business law • < 10 years : 0 pt • 10-15 years : 5pt • > 15 years : 10 pts	10
	Proven experience in the drafting of legal documents relating to financial vehicles operationalization. < 5 similar studies : 5pts 5- 10 similar studies : 10pts > 10 similar studies and more : 15pts	15

An African international experience	5
Experience in the countries of the Congo Basin Climate Commission	5
A "Climate financing" Expert,	50
Academic background (A post-graduate degree in Economics, Finance, or the equivalent).	5
 < Post graduate degree : 0 pt 	
• = or >Post-graduate degree : 5pts	
Professional experience in finance	10
< 10 years : 0 pt	
• 10-15 years : 5pt	
• > 15 years : 10 pts	
Proven experience in climate finance, especially in resources mobilization (carbon markets, CDM $$	
and NAMA mechanisms, MRV systems, carbon balance and assessments of carbon balance, NDC, adaptation, mitigation)	
< 5 similar studies : 15 pts	
5- 10 similar studies : 25 pts	
> 10 similar studies and more : 35 pts	
Total of part 3	250

Important:

Will be disqualified at the end of this phase all the bids that obtained:

→ A technical score below the technical minimum score of **700** points representing **70%** of the total points of the proposal (out of 1000 points)

The tender is evaluated based on its compliance with the contract specification.

Phase 2 : Comparative financial evaluation :

At the end of this phase, each proposal will be granted a score (F) out 1000:

Score 1000 will be granted to the technically compliant and lowest acceptable bid. Concerning the other proposals, the score will be calculated using the following formula:

Pmin
F= 1000 * ------ P: Bid price
P Pmin: Technically compliant and lowest acceptable bid.

Phase 3: Technical and financial evaluation:

Technical (T) and financial (F) scores obtained by each bidder will be respectively weighted as follows:

→70% for the technical and operational proposal

→30% for the financial proposal

N= 0,7 * T + 0,3* F

The contract will be awarded to the proposal with the highest weighted score N.

Highly developed: Very good level of details, innovation, relevance of the approach is presented, coherence of elements/activities proposed for the conduct of the study and achievement of expected results with a personal contribution and an added value;

Well developed: Good level of details, relevance of the approach is well presented, coherence and precision of elements/activities proposed for the conduct of the study and achievement of expected results;

Developed enough: Average level of details, relevance of the approach is presented, coherence of elements/activities proposed for the conduct of the study and achievement of expected results;

Simple: Simple use of elements of the ToR, lack of investigation;

Non-compliant: is not compliant with the ToR; omission of key elements of the ToR.